

S.M.A.R.T. Alarm:

Smoke Monitoring and Reactive Tasking Alarm

Senior Design 1

Initial Project Identification Document

February 2017

Group A

**Carlos Castro**, Computer Engineering

**Courtnie Coleman**, Electrical Engineering

**Lucas Plager**, Computer Engineering

**Patrick Schexnayder**, Electrical Engineering

# Table of Contents

[Table of Contents 2](#_Toc478127078)

[Project Description 4](#_Toc478127079)

[Motivation 4](#_Toc478127080)

[Goals, Objectives, and Function 4](#_Toc478127081)

[Engineering Requirements/Specifications 5](#_Toc478127082)

[Smoke sensors 5](#_Toc478127083)

[Transmitter and receiver 5](#_Toc478127084)

[Software 5](#_Toc478127085)

[Battery 5](#_Toc478127086)

[Lights, Direction Indicator, Sound 6](#_Toc478127087)

[House of Quality (Write about HoQ) 6](#_Toc478127088)

[Project Research 7](#_Toc478127089)

[Smoke Detecting Sensors 7](#_Toc478127090)

[Smoke Detector Sensors 7](#_Toc478127091)

[Photoelectric Sensors 8](#_Toc478127092)

[Ionization Sensors 8](#_Toc478127093)

[Carbon Monoxide/Gas Sensors 9](#_Toc478127094)

[Heat Sensors 10](#_Toc478127095)

[Dual Sensor Technology 10](#_Toc478127096)

[Conclusion 10](#_Toc478127097)

[Assessing Fire Detection Options 11](#_Toc478127098)

[Smoke Chamber Design 11](#_Toc478127099)

[Infrared LED 11](#_Toc478127100)

[Photodiode 11](#_Toc478127101)

[MQ-2 Sensor 12](#_Toc478127102)

[Fire alarm batteries 13](#_Toc478127103)

[Shelf-life 13](#_Toc478127104)

[Performance 14](#_Toc478127105)

[Cost 14](#_Toc478127106)

[Power and Capacity 14](#_Toc478127107)

[Fire alarm sound and signaling 15](#_Toc478127108)

[Sounders 16](#_Toc478127109)

[PS1927P02 Piezo Sounder 16](#_Toc478127110)

[PS1920P02 Piezo Sounder 17](#_Toc478127111)

[PS1740P02E Piezo Sounder 18](#_Toc478127112)

[12 VDC PUI programmable buzzer 19](#_Toc478127113)

[Wireless Communications 21](#_Toc478127114)

[1.1 Wireless Communication 21](#_Toc478127115)

[1.1.1 Wireless Fidelity (Wi-Fi) 21](#_Toc478127116)

[1.1.2 Bluetooth 22](#_Toc478127117)

[1.1.3 ZigBee 23](#_Toc478127118)

[Controller Hub 24](#_Toc478127119)

[Arduino Uno 25](#_Toc478127120)

[Raspberry Pi 25](#_Toc478127121)

[Beaglebone Black 26](#_Toc478127122)

[Comparison of Development Boards Table 26](#_Toc478127123)

[Hub Comparison Conclusion 27](#_Toc478127124)

[Arduino Bootloader 28](#_Toc478127125)

[Microprocessor for Fire Alarms 28](#_Toc478127126)

[Bootloader 29](#_Toc478127127)

[Bootloading Process 29](#_Toc478127128)

[Project Design 31](#_Toc478127129)

[Block Diagram (Hardware/Software) (Don’t know where to put/Design???) 31](#_Toc478127130)

[Administrative Content 32](#_Toc478127131)

[Estimated Budget 32](#_Toc478127132)

[Actual Expenditures: Prototype 33](#_Toc478127133)

[Financing Plan (mention personal spending for prototype, look for sponsor for implementation of PCB) 33](#_Toc478127134)

[Project Timeline 34](#_Toc478127135)

[Appendix 35](#_Toc478127136)

[References 35](#_Toc478127137)

# Project Description

## Motivation

In today’s day and age, technology advancements are occurring at a rapid pace. There are new inventions being created every minute improving people’s lives and making the world a better and safer place. Our group saw that while technology is advancing and becoming a bigger part of our everyday lives, one piece of technology that has not seen a major improvement in decades is the smoke and fire alarm. This device is a standard in everyone’s homes, offices, hotels and all other major buildings that we spend time in every single day but has been neglected as far as engineering advancement and innovation is concerned. The smoke and fire alarm that you have inside your house is essentially the same one your parents had inside theirs. We think we can do better. When looking at the average use case of a smoke detector, it was discovered that while they are useful, these devices do not provide the user with an abundance of information. Frequently, someone would hear the alarm go off and just frantically run to wherever they believe to be the nearest and safest exit without much of a plan. This scenario has the potential for disaster when you consider that there could be multiple people inside the building who do not know their way around and could be endangering themselves. Individuals could be wasting valuable time or be heading in a direction that is dangerous. We believe that a smoke alarm with improved functionality can help to eliminate this scenario and make everyone’s lives easier, and most importantly, safer. This can be achieved by creating a more connected fire alarm system. When the location of a fire is a factor in directing evacuation of a building, communication between the detection system and alarm system can provide crucial, life-saving information.

## Goals, Objectives, and Function

The goals for the smart smoke and fire alarm are to make an affordable, customizable, connected system of smoke alarms that alert employees and residents of the safest and fastest exit of the building in the case of a fire emergency. We would like this system to not be that much more expensive than existing options to convince the market to adopt our system. In the event of an emergency, these smoke alarms would sound off in an order that would lead people to the closest and safest exit. Users would just have to follow the sound that is projected from these alarms until they reach the exit to the building. These smoke alarms would be dynamic in the sense that they adapt to where the fire is located. This means that if a smoke alarm that is in the middle of a hallway goes off, people on the left of that area would be directed to the exit that is closest on their side of the fire while people on the right of that area would be directed to the exit that is closest on the other side of the fire while nobody is directed though the dangerous area. The system would also be able to handle hallway intersections and other confusing areas. This allows for residents to know where the fire is and what areas to avoid. For people that have hearing issues, these alarms will also have a visual display using light-up arrows that will point individuals in the direction they should go to exit the building. This also allows for better handling of confusing areas where sound alone could be confusing. This system should be achieved by mapping the building layout using a custom-made application during set up of these alarms, creating spatial awareness for each of the fire alarms, while using an algorithm to signal each alarm which is the best exit direction relative to its position. Our final goal is to focus on the ease of installation of our system. This system needs to be simple enough to install so that specialists are not required to configure, set up, and install these fire alarms. An effective ease of installation would ensure that almost anyone would be able to adapt our system into their building plans.

## Engineering Requirements/Specifications

The fire alarm that will be designed will give a clearer indication as to where to go during a fire. For this system to work each alarm will be connected to each other and will be able to send and receive signals. This system will be mapped to the floor plan of the building, so that when a fire breaks out the alarm system will recognize where the fire is coming from and send out a signal to each alarm to light the LEDs in the direction people should go to get away from the fire.

### Smoke sensors

Smoke sensor will be used to detect smoke within the building, there are different types of smoke sensors to detect fast flaming fires and slow smoldering fires. Upon more research we will be decided which would be best for us to use within our fire alarm. We want to make sure that we have the most cost-effective sensor that are also very efficient, to provide the costumer with the best product.

### Transmitter and receiver

For this fire alarm system, we want to make sure to direct the people away from the fire and to the closest exit. For this to work we will need each alarm device to be connected to each other. So each separate alarm will have a transmitter and a receiver so that way each alarm would be able to communicate to each other, and send the correct signal.

### Software

For the fire alarm system to be successful we will be using a script language to program the devices to recognize the layout of the building, and to be able to set off the sequence as to where to go when one of the smoke sensors go off. It has not yet been determined which script language will be use yet, we want to make sure you use the one that would be the most efficient and easy to implement.

### Battery

For the fire alarm system, it will be using a 9v battery with 1200 mAh current, due to some research on current fire alarm systems and the profession grade batteries they use. We are also researching the different types of batteries that would provide us with the best life expectancy. We would want the battery to have a life expectancy that will last between 5 to 10 years. This way the alarm system requires minimal maintenance as possible, on top of annual inspections.

### Lights, Direction Indicator, Sound

To help with the indication of a fire, and to help direct people in the right direction away from the fire there will be two LEDS in the shape of arrows on the fire alarm. Depending on the signal that is sent out, one of the arrows would illuminate in the direction to go. This will help give a clearer indication as to where the fire maybe and where to go. In addition to the lights and the direction indicators, there will also be an echoing sound that will sound when the alarm goes off. This echoing sound will follow the sequence of the signal that was sent out, and the sound will go towards the closest exit, just like the lights.

## House of Quality (Write about HoQ)



# Project Research



## Smoke Detecting Sensors

### Smoke Detector Sensors

The two most commonly used types of sensors for used in domestic and commercial smoke detectors are Photoelectric Smoke Detection Sensors and Ionization Detection Sensors. These sensors may be used individually in commercially sold smoke detectors, used in combination with each other, and also in combination with Carbon Monoxide Sensors or Heat Sensors.

### Photoelectric Sensors



The Photoelectric Sensor, is often considered to work as an “eye” due to the nature of how it detects smoke. The sensor typically consists of a using a light source that emits infra-red light, an LED is commonly used, a lens for directing the light and a photoelectric receiver, such as a photodiode, that is the target of the infra-red light. These are placed in a chamber that is open to the air, and when smoke is present, will be filled with smoke particles. Smoke particles will cause the light to scatter and affect the amount of light that comes in contact with the photoelectric receiver. This will then result in a drop in current across this sensor, which is sensed by the circuit attached and the alarm is activated accordingly. This type of sensor is typically placed on or near the ceiling of a room, as smoke tends to rise and this allows for a better reaction time in case of a fire. This alarm is also less sensitive to false alarms that result from minor smoke from candles, steam or cooking. This type of sensor is also known to react more quickly to smoldering fires, as these fires tend to produce larger combustion particles that interact well with the sensor, however it still has a good detection time for flaming fires. (Bukowski, Performance of Home Smoke Alarms).

**Figure: Photoelectric Sensor No Smoke Present (left), Smoke Present (right)**

### Ionization Sensors

The Ionization Sensor is considered a cheaper alternative to using a Photoelectric Sensor. Like a Photoelectric Sensor it can also sense smoke particles in the air that are generally not big enough to see with the naked eye. This sensor is comprised of two ionization chambers that create a current using the potential difference across two electrodes contained inside. A reference chamber has no particle entry while the other chamber is open to the air and would potentially allow for smoke particles to enter. Both chambers contain a small amount of Americium-241, a radioactive material that emits “alpha particles” which result in positively charged ions and negatively charged electrons when they collide with air particles. The electric charge of the ions creates a potential difference across the pair of electrodes and allows a current to flow across the sensor. The expected current should be the same in both chambers, as they are both facing identical conditions including air pressure, temperature and aging of the Americium. If any smoke particles enter the test chamber, ions will begin attaching to those particles and the current will not be carried across the chamber. Thus, the circuit attached will detect the current difference between the test and reference chambers and activate the alarm (Cote Principles of fire protection p 249). Once this smoke clears, the ions will begin to flow between the electrodes again and current should return to the reference level. The current draw of an Ionization Sensor is low, therefore a small battery is sufficient for powering this circuit long term. Ionization Sensors are known to quickly detect small amounts of smoke, generally produced by flaming fires fueled by paper and flammable liquids and thus is prone to false alarms (<http://www.asecurelife.com/best-smoke-detector>).

**Figure: Ionization Sensor No Smoke Present (left), Smoke Present (right)**

### Carbon Monoxide/Gas Sensors

Carbon Monoxide Sensors are generally intended to sense deadly levels of carbon monoxide, and alert those present, usually sleeping, of the presence of the gas, so that they may escape or clear the air. These tend to respond to all scenarios of fires, and has a faster response time for flaming fires in comparison to smoldering fires, however it greatly increases response time for smoldering fires when compared to both smoke sensors. This would be ideal if used in combination with the Photoelectric or Ionization sensor, however not essential or entirely reliable as a sole sensor, due to not all fires producing large amounts of carbon monoxide (Bukowski Performance of Home Smoke Alarms).

Gas sensor modules have a steel exoskeleton for protecting the sensing element, which has current running through leads that connect, known as the heating current. Gases coming close to the sensing element are ionized and absorbed by the sensing element. This results in a change in the resistance of the sensing element and thus a different current value stemming from the sensing element. The steel mesh around the sensor is designed so that suspended particles are filtered and only gases pass into the sensor (https://www.engineersgarage.com/insight/how-gas-sensor-works).

### Heat Sensors

Heat sensors feature a detecting element, such as thermistors, that activate when a predetermined temperature or a previously specified temperature increase occurs in the sensor (https://www.grainger.com/content/qt-types-smoke-alarms-detectors-366). The best applications for using these sensors are “small confined spaces where rapidly burning, high heat fires are expected” (https://www.grainger.com/content/qt-types-smoke-alarms-detectors-366). These tend to have low false alarm rates, however due to the slow detection time for both smoldering and flaming fires (Bukowski, Performance of Home Smoke Alarms) it is not very effective in residential fires.

### Dual Sensor Technology

Commercially sold smoke alarms may also contain a dual sensor technology, that while it may be more expensive, the use of both photoelectric and ionization sensors allows for a functionality that quickly detects flaming and smoldering fires. However, the IAFF announced at their 2008 conference that they officially recommend photoelectric sensor alarms and stating dual sensors are no longer acceptable as the technology in ionization sensors tends to lead to a delay in sensing smoldering fires, in addition to having difficulties to high airflow environment, which would lead to an even greater delay (http://www.thewfsf.org/iaff).The Ionization sensor’s susceptibility to false alarms is also problematic when creating a smoke detector and alarm system that the users can trust.

### Conclusion

Due to the recommendation by the IAFF of using solely Photoelectric Sensors in a smoke alarm due to its fast response time to smoldering, over using Ionization Sensors or dual sensors, as well as the common use of Photoelectric sensors in public areas fit for large domains and the sensors simplicity, the Photoelectric Sensor will be implemented in the S.M.A.R.T Alarm system. Ionization Sensors may provide the fastest response time for more noticeable flaming fires, but the average response time of a Photoelectric Sensor is comparable according to the NIST study (Bukowski, Performance of Home smoke alarms). The use of Carbon Monoxide sensors could also be implemented, as it may increase response time for smoldering fires, the use of a Photoelectric Sensor is sufficient for targeting this type of fire, however for testing purposes it would remove the necessity of creating fires that result in smoke, which pose several risks and inconveniences during the testing phase. Heat sensors will also not be implemented in the S.M.A.R.T. Alarm due to slow detection time, it would not add much to the system while increasing cost.

## Assessing Fire Detection Options

### Smoke Chamber Design

The S.M.A.R.T. Alarm system employs the use of Photoelectric Sensors to detect smoke. The use of these sensors requires the design and implementation of a “Smoke Chamber” that serves as a chamber where the ambient air can enter, and thus if smoke is present it may enter as well. The most effective way to place the smoke chamber would be to have any perforation on the underside of the alarm, so that the smoke can rise into the chamber while also avoiding any light that may come from windows or the ceiling from entering the chamber. The Smoke Chamber will have two main components: an infrared or ultraviolet light emitting diode (LED) as a source and a photodiode to act as a receiver. The LED is emitting light continuously at the photodiode, and as long as the photodiode is receiving this light a current is produced, therefore if this light is interrupted then the current will stop. A lack of current stemming from the photodiode will serve as a marker for the system that smoke is present and the alarm should sound. The smoke chamber should contain as little outside light as possible, so that the outside light does not interfere with the photodiode, while allowing enough air flow for smoke to enter the chamber if present. In fact, the design of the Smoke Chamber as a Photoelectric Sensor should serve as a black box, with an input to power the LED and an output from the photodiode, so that the Alarm circuit can measure to determine the presence of smoke.

### Infrared LED

Infrared radiation is a type of electromagnetic radiation that is often referred to as infrared light. Discovered in 1800 by Sir William Herschel, infrared radiation is invisible to the human eye however heat stemming from infrared can still be felt by touch, extending just past the red edge on the visible spectrum. Infrared radiation is classified as falling between the wavelengths of 0.75 um to 1mm. The IR LED used for measuring smoke presence in the Smoke Chamber serves as a low power option for transmitting the IR light meant to be received by the photodiode. This component must also provide the ability to emit the light normal to sensor, to avoid wear and tear that is associated with bending the leads of the component. The wavelength of the light transmitted should also match the wavelength of peak sensitivity for the photodiode, to ensure that the sensor will work.

### Photodiode

A photodiode is a semiconductor component designed to operate in reverse bias, that generates current when light is sensed and its photons are absorbed. However, it may also produce small amounts of current while there are no photons present. Generally, the response time of a photodiode decreases as the surface area increases in size. The most common photodiode is the solar cell, which employs its properties to convert sun light into electric current for common use. Photodiodes are not much different than regular semiconductor diodes, aside from being exposed to detect light or being designed with an optical fiber so that light to reaches the sensitive part of the component (Cox, Fundamentals of Linear Electronics).

The photodiode is a p-n junction, and when a photon with enough energy reaches the diode, an electron-hole pair is created, this is often referred to as the inner photoelectric effect. In case that the absorption occurs in the depletion region of the junction, the built-in electric field of the depletion region sweeps the carriers from the junction, and the electron-holes move toward the anode while the electrons move toward the cathode, creating a photocurrent. The total current of the photodiode is made up of the sum of the photocurrent and the dark current, the current that’s generated when the photodiode is not exposed to light. Therefore, to maximize the sensitivity of the device, the dark current must be minimized (Tavernier, Chapter 3: from light). Photodiodes are often operated in photoconductive mode, in which the diode will be reverse biased, resulting in a reduced response time as the width of the depletion layer is increased by the additional reverse bias, thus decreasing the capacitance of the p-n junction. The reverse bias will also increase dark current while minimally affecting the change in the photocurrent (http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/Electronic/photdet.html).

However, when analyzing the viability of testing our system using a photoelectric sensor in a closed environment, we would have to create enough smoke each time we want to test the system. While this is possible, we would like to avoid the risk of creating an actual fire by burning components that would produce smoke as well as avoiding setting off the actual smoke and fire alarms in the building we are testing in. Performing tests outdoors is not very viable as there will be little control over weather and wind conditions, as well as limited access to lab materials for making any changes or fixing components of the system. Another possible roadblock is the burden of creating a working smoke detecting sensor, as this may take away from the true purpose of the project if implemented incorrectly or with error. This purpose of the project being creating a system for enhanced evacuation in the event of a fire, not creating a smoke detector. A carbon monoxide detector provides the most reliable sensor without having to create an actual fire with smoke in a lab setting.

### MQ-2 Sensor

This sensor has the ability to detect several types of gases: Hydrogen, Liquified Petroleum Gas, Carbon Monoxide, Alcohol, Smoke and Propane. The type of gas detected is transmitted by the analog output of the sensor as a certain range of values for each gas, it however cannot detect more than one gas at a time. This requires the sensor to be calibrated to detect a certain gas, in the case of this project the gas would be smoke. The MQ-2 Sensor has a standard input voltage of 5.0 V +/- 0.1 V, with an adjustable load resistance. The ideal operation temperature is 20°C +/- 2°C, with an ideal “preheat time” of 48 hours. Following this combustible gas and smoke will be easily and accurately detected for concentrations in the rate 300 to 10,000 ppm. The quick detection by the sensor coupled with the simplicity of implementation and use make this sensor the best option for this project, however for future projects the implementation of a photoelectric sensor would be recommended.



**Figure: MQ-2 Flammable Gas & Smoke Sensor (Permission: Polulu Corporation)**

## Fire alarm batteries

The battery that would be best for this SMART fire alarm system would be a 9V 1200mAh Lithium battery. The Ultra life 9V Lithium Battery is the perfect battery for low drain devices. Also, this battery has a long duration of shelf life at about 10 years, which is great for the fire alarm system. A long shelf life would help the fire alarm system be more reliable, and it will also require less maintenance. There are different types of batteries that we could use, alkaline or lithium batteries. As stated before, we chose to go with a lithium battery rather than an alkaline battery. When comparing the two different types of batteries we and see the difference between the two, and how we came to make this decision.

### Shelf-life

When it comes to shelf life, we chose to go with the lithium battery because lithium batteries can last much longer than an alkaline battery can. As mentioned above a longer shelf live requires less maintenance to be done with the smoke detectors, other than routine checks to make sure that everything is up to code. Therefore, requiring less maintenance allows the customer to not have to change the battery so often.

### Performance

Lithium batteries work well with devices that are low drain and high drain, whereas alkaline batteries don’t perform well with high drain devices, unless they are a special premium alkaline battery. Alkaline batteries are good batteries, just not for a smoke detector, a major problem with these types of batteries is that they are susceptible to self-discharging. This leakage could damage the device which could cause the smoke detector to malfunction and not go off which would endanger a lot of people. Lithium batteries are said to last about 7x to 8x longer than alkaline batteries. Also, it is mentioned that lithium batteries can withstand lower or higher temperatures depending on the environment that batteries are placed in. Opposed to alkaline batteries that can’t perform in those types of environments.

### Cost

The upside that we found with the alkaline batteries is that they are very low cost, compared to lithium batteries, and you can get them in bundles for cheap as well. Lithium batteries are usually at least twice the amount of alkaline batteries. However, even though the lithium batteries are more expensive the quality of them are better and they outperform and outlast most other batteries. So, spending the extra money to purchase these types of batteries would be worth it so we could provide our customers with the best quality product.

### Power and Capacity

Lithium batteries usually produce twice as much voltage as alkaline batteries produce, which allows them to outlast and have a longer shelf life as alkaline batteries. As mentioned above the Ultra life 9V lithium battery that we chose will have a max capacity rate of 1200mAh. Most alkaline 9V batteries don’t produce a max capacity rate of 1200mAh. Most of the alkaline batteries, besides the special premium types, produce around a max of 800mAh. With the lithium battery having a higher capacity rating than the alkaline battery this proves that the lithium battery will deliver a longer performance than the alkaline battery, which is what we want to provide a best quality product.

## Fire alarm sound and signaling

Per the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA 72) code, the audibility of the alarm varies depending on the type of environment the alarm system is in. For a public place the minimum audibility of the alarm must be 15dBA above the average ambient sound level, and for a private place the alarm cannot be less than 10dBA above the average ambient sound level. This is just one type of requirement for the audibility. Another one that pertains to both of the types of places is that the minimum requirement of sound from the alarm must be 5dBA above the max sound barrier with a duration of at least 60 seconds. Also, stated within the NFPA 72 code is that the maximum output audible sound the fire alarm system can have is 110dBA. This output is based upon the minimum hearing distance.

Based on the code requirements from the Nation Fire Protection Association, we could use this as reference guide in determining the type of component we will use for the fire alarm. As mentioned above the NFPA 72 code depending on the type of environment the system is in the audible sound level should either be 10dBA or 15dBA above the ambient noise level, or 5dBA for 60 seconds above the maximum level; with the alarm system being at a distance that is 5ft above the floor level. Table 1.1 shows the different locations, the average ambient noise level the minimum corresponding dBA level for an alarm system.

### Table Title:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Locations** | **Average ambient noise level (dBA)** | **Minimum required for SPL (dBA) per location** |
| Business offices | 55 | 70 |
| Industrial occupancies | 80 | 95 |
| Institutional occupancies | 50 | 65 |
| Mechanical rooms | 85 | 100 |
| Places of assembly | 55 | 70 |
| Residential places | 35 | 50 |
| Storage occupancies | 30 | 45 |
| Thoroughfares, high-density urban areas | 70 | 85 |
| Thoroughfares, moderate – density urban areas | 55 | 70 |
| Thoroughfares, rural and suburban areas | 40 | 55 |
| Underground structures and windowless buildings | 40 | 55 |
| Educational occupancies | 45 | 60 |
| Mercantile occupancies | 40 | 55 |
| Piers and water-surrounded structures | 40 | 55 |
| Tower occupancies | 35 | 50 |
| Vehicles and vessels | 50 | 65 |


***Table 1.1: (****This table is in accordance with NFPA 72)*

### Sounders

When picking the type of sounders, we want to make sure we can reach a wide range of locations based off the min amount of audible sound. Per Chapter 18 of the NFPA 72 code the implementation of low frequency of 520 HZ must be used in in sleeping areas. So, to be able to comply with this code we wanted to make sure that we chose a sounder that would be able to work between a good range of frequencies that would cover both sleeping areas and normal occupancies. From this research, we came across many different types of sounders, but we narrowed it down 4 different types. Three are Piezo sounders and one is PUI programmable buzzer.

### PS1927P02 Piezo Sounder

This sounder is a high sound pressure buzzer with a maximum SPL at 90dBA/10cm min at 2.7 kHz, at 10Vp rectangular wave. This sounder has a maximum input voltage at 20Vp (without a DC bias). Table 2.1 shows a graph of the sound pressure corresponding to frequency.




***Table 2.1: Square Drive of what?***

We considered this Piezo buzzer because the sound pressure level was high enough to where it would work in different types location specified in table 1.1. Also, the cost of this buzzer was cheap and would work with the budget. However, the SPL was only high between 2kHz and 3.5kHz, where at lower and higher frequencies the SPL was lower. So, this type of sounder didn’t give us a wider range frequencies to work with than the other buzzer types.

### PS1920P02 Piezo Sounder

This sounder is a low frequency tone buzzer with a maximum SPL at 80dBA/10cm min at 2 kHz, at 10Vp rectangular wave. This sounder has a maximum input voltage at 20Vp (without a DC bias). Table 2.2 shows a graph of the sound pressure corresponding to frequency.




***Table 2.2: Square Wave of what?***

We considered this Piezo buzzer because the sound pressure level was high enough to where it would work in different types location specified in table 1.1. Also, the cost of this buzzer was cheap and would work with the budget. However, the SPL was only high between 2kHz and 3kHz. Also, this sounder had one of the lowest sound pressure level at the lower frequency than the other four sounders. As well as a low SPL at high frequencies. So, this type of buzzer didn’t give us a good range of frequency we could reach and still have a decent SPL that would work for different environments.

### PS1740P02E Piezo Sounder

This sounder is a high sound pressure buzzer with a maximum SPL at 75dBA/10cm min at 4 kHz, at 3Vp rectangular wave. This sounder has a maximum input voltage at 30Vp (without a DC bias). Table 2.3 shows a graph of the sound pressure corresponding to frequency.



***Table 2.3: Square Wave of what?***


We chose this Piezo buzzer because the sound pressure level was high enough to where it would work in different types location specified in table 1.1. Also, the cost of this buzzer was cheap and would work with the budget. The frequency range that comes with this sounder is very broad which works well for this system. This sounder has a good sound pressure level with lower frequencies, which is good for private occupancies. As well as, a good sound pressure level with the higher frequency range that is good for public occupancies. Another reason as to why we chose this sounder is that the frequency gap where the SPL was really low was smaller between the other buzzers. So this sounder met a lot of specifications we needed so that is why we chose this one.

### 12 VDC PUI programmable buzzer

This sounder is a high sound pressure buzzer with a minimum SPL at 100 dBA/10cm min at 1 kHz. This sounder has an operating voltage ranging from 7 – 24 Vdc, as shown as in the table below. Table 2.4 shows a specification table of the programmable sounder.



***Figure***



***Table 2.4***

We consider this 12Vdc PUI programmable buzzer because the sound pressure level was high enough to where it would work in different types location specified in table 1.1. However, the cost of this buzzer was a lot more expensive than the other buzzer that we were considering, so due to the cost it would increase our budget more than we wanted it to, because we would need to buy several of them for the fire alarm system. The great thing about this buzzer is that it’s adjustable so we would be able to change the different types of sounds that it makes, and we would be able to adjust the sound pressure level. Even though the cost was high, the frequency range that comes with this sounder is very broad, as shown in the table above the resonant frequency range is from 100 Hz to 6 kHz; which works very well for this system. This sounder has a good sound pressure level with lower frequencies, and since it is adjustable it would work for private occupancies. As well as, a good sound pressure level with the higher frequency range that is good for public occupancies. So even though this sounder met a lot of specifications we needed, the cost and the SPL was a little bit too high for the use.

## 

## Wireless Communications


### 1.1 Wireless Communication

When it comes to wireless communication, there are many developments that have been made to the field in the past 20 years. Some of these developments include Wi-Fi (developed by the Wi-Fi Alliance, introduced in 1998), Bluetooth (introduced by Ericsson in 1994, developed by the Bluetooth Special Interest Group), and ZigBee (developed by Zigbee Alliance, introduced in 1998).

The S.M.A.R.T Alarm system will rely heavily on wireless communication, transmitting sensor data to the central processing and direction data back to the alarms. The following section will provide information on the aforementioned wireless communication options and will discuss the feasibility of their inclusion in the S.M.A.R.T Alarm system.

### 1.1.1 Wireless Fidelity (Wi-Fi)

As the most commonly used form of wireless communication, Wi-Fi is used in nearly every household in the world. A Wi-FI router covers an area, such as a household or business, with a blanket of Wi-Fi signal which allows any smart device to connect to the internet.



***Figure 1.1.1-1 Wi-Fi Network***

Introduced for commercial use in 1998, Wi-Fi is a Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) that is based on the IEEE 802.11 standards. IEEE 802.11 is the radio frequency needed to transmit packets over radio links. These data packets are known as ethernet frames, which have built-in error checking. This means that if a data packet is altered or destroyed before it reaches its final destination, the packet will be resent until it is confirmed that it was received by its target.

IEEE 802.11b and 802.11g use the 2.4 GHz  industrial, scientific and medical (ISM) radio bands. Due to the choice of this frequency band, Wi-Fi devices occasionally experience interference by other RF devices and devices such as microwave ovens, cell phones, Bluetooth and Zigbee devices.

Spectrum assignments for the 2.4GHz band are not the same worldwide. For example, the U.S. only permits 11 channels for the 2.4GHz band to be operated without a license, whereas Australia and Europe allow two additional channels (12 and 13).  A Wi-Fi signal occupies five channels in the 2.4 GHz band, therefore it is only possible to have a group of three non-overlapping channels (Channels 1, 6 and 11) in the U.S.

All Wi-Fi certified devices will work with any Wi-Fi access point anywhere in the world, proved they can make it through the security checkpoints such as Wired Equivalent Privacy or WEP (which has been phased out due to weakness of security) or the more popular Wi-Fi Protected Acces[s](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wi-Fi_Protected_Access) (WPA and WPA2) which requires a passcode for access to the network.

Wi-Fi range is dependent on the frequency band, radio power output, antenna type and gain as well as the technique used in modulation. A Wi-Fi access point that complies with either the 802.11b or 802.11g protocols, using a stock antenna, can get a range of about 100 meters (330ft). However, using multiple access points such as multiple routers, allow for network redundancy and higher ranges.

Overall, Wi-Fi would be an excellent form of wireless communication for the S.M.A.R.T Alarms, if not for the power consumption of transmitting and receiving Wi-Fi signals. Because the S.M.A.R.T Alarms will be powered by batteries, a more power efficient communication system must be used.

### 1.1.2 Bluetooth

Bluetooth is a wireless communication technology used to transmit and receive data over short distances using short-wavelength UHF radio waves in the industrial, scientific and medical (ISM) bands (2.4 - 2.485 GHz). Most Bluetooth networks have a range of only about 10 meters (30 ft) depending on signal strength and obstructions, classifying their networks as Personal Area Networks (PANs). The IEEE standardized Bluetooth as IEEE 802.15.1, but no longer maintains the standard.

Bluetooth has a master-slave structure and is a packet based protocol. One master may communicate with up to 7 slaves, all the slaves sharing the master's clock. Bluetooth uses a frequency-hopping spread spectrum radio technology to transmit the data packets over one of 79 designated Bluetooth channels. Each one of these channels have a bandwidth of 1 MHz, and it usually transmits at 800 hops per second. Security in a Bluetooth system is very weak compared to other wireless communication systems, only relying on a four digit encryption, compared to the twelve digit encryption you get from Wi-Fi securities.

Due to the limited range (about 30 ft) and lack of proper encryption, associated with Bluetooth transmission, it is not feasible to use Bluetooth in the S.M.A.R.T. Alarm systems.

### 1.1.3 ZigBee

ZigBee is an IEEE 802.15.4 based high level wireless communication system. ZigBee creates Personal Area Networks (PANs) with relatively small low power radios. Used mostly in home automation and other low-power low-bandwidth application, ZigBee communication is great for small scale projects that need wireless communication. ZigBee was designed to be more simple and less expensive than other wireless PANs, like Wi-Fi or Bluetooth.

Due to ZigBee’s low power consumption, it limits transmission ranges to about 10-100 meters depending on power output and environment. However, through the use of a mesh network, data can be transferred from one device to another, allowing for an expansive range.


ZigBee operates in the ISM radio bands (2.4 GHz) with data transmission rates varying from 20 kbit/s for the 868 MHz band to 200 kbits/s at the 2.4 GHz band. ZigBee can support both star and tree networks, as well as generic mesh networking. Every ZigBee network must have one coordinating device which essentialyl creates the network environment, control over the network parameters and basic maintenance of the system. In a star network, the coordinating device must be the central node, in contact with all other devices. However, tree and mesh networks both allow use of ZigBee routers to extend communication at a network level.

ZigBee builds on IEEE 802.15.4 standards of physical layer and media access control for low rate PANs. There are four key components in addition to the set standards. Those additions are a network layer, application layer, manufacturer defined applications, and ZigBee device objects. These additions allow for customization and total integration of a system. ZigBee device objects or ZDOs are responsible for keeping track of device roles, managing network join requests as well as device discovery and security.

There are three kinds of ZigBee devices:

* ZigBee Coordinator - Described Above
* ZigBee Router - Runs applications as well as acting as intermediate router in a mesh or tree network. Requires less memory than Coordinator but more than End Device. Power consumption higher than that of End Device.
* ZigBee End Device - Has just enough functionality to communicate with parent node (Coordinator or Router). Cannot relay data from other devices. Gives the best battery life due to lack of need for communication and ability to enter sleep mode.

Software for ZigBee is designed to be easy to develop on small, inexpensive microprocessors, which will cut down on costs as well as time needed to set up the network. This is important when it comes to budgeting, as well as creating a product that is cost efficient and reasonably priced for a consumer.  Since ZigBee has very low power usage and low data rate (250 kbit/s), it is a great communication tool for battery powered devices. Zigbee also has a great security system (128 bit symmetric encryption keys). These factors make it best suited for occasional data transfers from sensors or input devices.



***Figure 1.1.3-1 ZigBee Star Network***

## Controller Hub

For our project, we would like to choose a development board that could be used as a hub for our smart fire alarm system. This hub would need to wirelessly send signals to the other fire alarm systems telling each alarm what direction to send users and when to go off. We decided on creating a hub for this system because without a hub, each fire alarm would need to be programed separately with its own location relative to the other alarms. Each alarm would also need to know it’s location relative to the suitable exits. With the use of the hub, we can choose one central system to program and do computation and that system would send the signals to the other alarms. A central hub would allow set up to go smoothly as a user would just have to set up the alarms and then program the hub with the locations of the alarms and exits. This greatly increases the ease of use for our system. A hub would also allow us to easily change the locations of our alarms after set up and also send software updates to the entire system easily. As ease of use and installation was an important factor for the design of our system, the choice for this unit is something that requires much research. When choosing a development board for projects, three units generally come to mind. These are the Arduino Uno, the Raspberry Pi, and the Beaglebone. We will evaluate each board, provide technical specifications for each, and weigh our options in the following text.


### Arduino Uno

The Arduino is a development board that has become extremely popular among the maker community to design small projects and perform prototyping on potential system ideas. The Arduino is a microcontroller that specializes in executing simple code directly with no operating system performing operations in the back ground. It specializes is connecting to sensors though it’s GPIO pins and sending simple signals through those pins to read data signals. The microcontroller that is included on the Arduino Uno development board is the ATmega328P which is designed by Atmel. It is a low-power CMOS 8 bit microcontroller that uses the RISC instruction set. The Arduino board has an operating voltage of 5 volts with a recommending input voltage of between 7 and 12 volts. The board contains 14 digital input output pins of which 6 of those provide output for pulse width modulation (PWM). (<https://www.arduino.cc/en/Main/ArduinoBoardUno)> It also includes 6 pins for analog input. The ATmega328P Atmel chip includes only 32 KB of flash memory of which 0.5 KB are used by the bootloader. This microcontroller ship has a clock speed of 16 MHz. (<http://www.atmel.com/Images/Atmel-42735-8-bit-AVR-Microcontroller-ATmega328-328P_Datasheet.pdf)> The power input specifications for this board allow it to be extremely low power and can be powered via a simple USB connection or with an external power supply. The reason this development board has become so popular among the maker community is that it is cheap and low powered while providing enough ease of use and input output pins to control external sensors. The board retails for $24.95 but can be very easily replicated using similar parts for much cheaper. The Arduino Uno does not come with build in wireless connections such as Bluetooth or Wi-Fi but comes with UART serial interface connections. The Arduino can communicate with a PC using original STK500 protocol. It features the Atmega16U2 programmed as a USB-to-serial converter and generally uses the Arduino IDE to be programmed.

### Raspberry Pi

While the Arduino board is a small affordable micro-controller, the Raspberry Pi can be considered the most popular micro-processor among community designers. Instead of just being able to do simple calculations the Raspberry Pi can be considered a full blown personal computer. This development board is powered by the Broadcom BCM2837 quad core Cortex A53 processor. This processor runs at a relatively speedy 1.2 GHz frequency. The Cortex A53 is capable of running both 32-bit and 64-bit instruction sets. It is based on the ARM architecture that has become very popular among smartphones and other small computing devices. The Raspberry Pi also comes with a VideoCore IV graphics processing unit that runs at 400 MHz. While this is not as powerful as most modern day PCs, this is more than enough processing power to run simple graphics processes and display them over the included HDMI connection. The board also comes with 1GB of build in LPDDR2 RAM for running multiple processes. The Raspberry Pi also comes with a microSD card slot and USB port for storing external memory. The newest Raspberry Pi 3 model also comes with a much desired addition of wireless connectivity. Included on the board are a WiFi 802.11 b/g/n adapter running at 2.4GHz as well as a Bluetooth 4.1 LE transceiver and receiver. In terms of input/output capabilities, the Raspberry Pi comes with a 40 pin header of which 26 are general purpose IO pins. It also includes 1 UART pin for debugging and 2 pins that can be used for pulse width modulation. Two pins are also dedicated so a camera serial interface as well as a display serial interface. The device can be powered by 5 volts via a micro USB cable. The Raspberry Pi will take up 0.31 amps at 5V during idle mode with a Raspbian UI or 0.22 amps at 5.19 volts while using the terminal only in idle mode (<http://www.cnx-software.com/2016/03/01/raspberry-pi-3-odroid-c2-and-pine-a64-development-boards-comparison/>). One advantage that a board this powerful gives is its’ ability to run full 32 bit Linux distributions such as Ubuntu or Raspbian. This allows the programmer to design full applications that can be accessed via this device and a keyboard, mouse and monitor. Lastly, the Raspberry Pi retails for $35

### Beaglebone Black

The last development of the development boards up for consideration for use as the controller for our hub is the Beaglebone black. The Beaglebone is a microprocessor development board similar to the Raspberry Pi. This board is powered by a AM3358 Sitara processor developed by Texas Instruments. This processor is based on the ARM Cortex A8 processor but is enhanced with image, graphics processing and other peripherals (​<http://www.ti.com/product/AM3358>). This processor runs at a 1GHz frequency and is capable of 2000 MIPS. The Beaglebone is also powered by a SGX530 3D graphics engine specifically designed for 3D rendering. This is more than enough processing power to be able to run a full functioning Linux distribution and in fact comes pre-loaded with Debian Linux with a 3.8.13-bone kernel. In terms of memory, this board comes with 512 MB of DDR3L RAM running at 606 MHZ and 4GB of onboard flash memory to hold code data and any other resources you might need. Interestingly, this board also comes with a TPS65217C dedicated power management module and optional 20 pin options JTAG serial header for debug support. It can be powered by miniUSB or a DC jack and uses 5V of DC power consumption. This board also comes with large amount of input/ouput access as it has two separate 46-pin headers of which 65 are GPIO and two are for pulse width modulation, a micro HDMI for audio and video output, and two USB ports. One thing that is of special note to this board, however, is that it contains a 10/100 Ethernet port but does not include built in WiFi or Bluetooth capability. The last article of note is that this board retails for at least $55 from many different distributors (<https://www.adafruit.com/product/1876>).

### Comparison of Development Boards Table

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Arduino Uno** | **Raspberry Pi 3 Model B** | **Beaglebone Black** |
| Processor Speed | 16 MHz | 1.2 GHz | 1 GHz |
| Dedicated GPU | No | Yes | Yes |
| GPU Speed | N/A | 400 MHz | 200 MHz |
| Memory | 2 KB | 1GB | 512 MB of DDR3L |
| Input Voltage | 7-12 V | 5 V | 5V |
| Flash | 32 KB | SD Card | 4GB |
| Operating System | None | Linux Distributions | Linux Distributions |
| Multitasking | None | Yes | Yes |
| On board Wi-Fi | None | Yes | No, but Ethernet port |
| On board Bluetooth | None | Yes | No |
| GPIO Pins | 14 | 26 of 40 pin header | 65 of 92 pins |
| PWM Pins | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| USB | One, input only | Two ports | Two Ports |
| UART | Yes | 1 pin | 1 pin |
| On board HDMI | No | Yes | Yes |
| Price | $24.95 | $35 | $55 |

***Table***

### Hub Comparison Conclusion

The development board we choose as our hub for the smart fire alarm system could very well be the most important piece of technology we choose for this project. This development board would be handling all of the computation for our system. This device would also be the central location from where all installation would be configured. An initial vision for our project is that customers would use the hub to configure and tell the system where the location of the smoke and fire alarm sensors are relative to each other as well as relative to the exits to the building. With this in mind, we have decided that this would make the Arduino Uno not the best choice to control the hub. Since the Arduino Uno does not have the processor speed or the capability to run an operating system, the Arduino would have to be connected to a computer in order for new updates or initial configuration to be handled. It also would have to be expanded with more flash memory and wireless peripherals in order for it to function as the hub. This would bring the price up to at least that of the Raspberry Pi while the Pi offers much more at this price point. Because the Raspberry Pi and Beaglebone Black have high amounts of processing power and graphics capabilities, these devices would have the ability to run full operating systems and function as a stand-alone service. This would eliminate the need for an installer or user to have a computer connected for set up and additional functionality. The installer would just have to connect a keyboard and screen to these devices for installation. This allows for the potential of developing an easy to use graphics program for set up in future development.

When just comparing the Raspberry Pi 3 and Beaglebone black, analysis shows that these two devices are very comparable. These devices have similar processor speeds, both contain a dedicated graphics processing unit, and both come with a substantial amount of on board memory. The Beaglebone does have 4GB of on board flash memory but that will be more than enough for our project while a Raspberry Pi can easily be expanded through the use of an SD card. The Raspberry Pi 3 comes with plenty of GPIO pins as 26 of the pins on the 40 pin header can be used as general purpose. The Beaglebone Black manages to more than double this amount with 65 pins which would be more than overkill for use as a wireless hub for our smart fire alarm system. The two places where a major difference between the Raspberry Pi 3 and Beaglebone Black finally start to show are the on board wireless connectivity and price points for each device. While the Raspberry Pi 3 comes with on board Wi-Fi and Bluetooth functionality, the Beaglebone bone falls short in this category. The Beaglebone only gives access to wired internet access through an Ethernet port. The Raspberry Pi would be able to provide wireless control over the sensors as well as download any updates or alerts over WiFi while the Beaglebone would need to be expanded to provide this. Lastly, while the Beaglebone may provide a large amount of GPIO pins and 4GB of RAM, we believe that these gains are not worth the $20 different in price that would be required versus the Raspberry Pi 3. The Raspberry Pi 3 provides everything our Hub would need while also having on board wireless connectivity for a price $35 while the Beaglebone Black retails for $55 or higher. For these reasons, we have chosen to use the Raspberry Pi 3 model B as the micro processing unit to control our hub.

## Arduino Bootloader


### Microprocessor for Fire Alarms

Each fire alarm needs its own microprocessor to handle computing for the wireless signals and sensors that will be part of our smoke sensor design. The microprocessor will need to be low power and will not need a very high amount of processing power. For this reason, we have decided to use the ATmega328 microcontroller developed by Atmel. This chip is a low-power CMOS transistor microcontroller. The ATmega328 uses a simple 8-bit RISC architecture for executing simple instructions. This architecture is perfect for reading inputs from simple sensors and proving output signals to components such as LEDs. The RISC architecture that is used by the ATmega328 contains 131 instructions that operate in a single clock cycle. This microprocessor is capable of 20 million instructions per second when running at 20 MHz. Another important component of this chip is that is contains 23Kbytes of programmable flash memory. This memory is where the bootloader and functional code for our program will be stored. This flash memory is re-programmable allowing us to update and change the code as many times as needed during testing. The ATmega328 also comes with six sleep modes which may come in handy for us if we need to save battery life and power consumption on our system. This chip runs at an operating voltage of between 1.8 and 5.5V according to the data sheet provided by Atmel. The last of the important characteristics on this chip for our fire alarm system is that it contains 23 programmable I/O lines. These lines will be used to connect to the various peripherals of our alarm such as the buzzer, smoke sensors, LEDs, batteries, and wireless peripherals. One final reason that we chose this microprocessor is that it is the same one used in the Arduino Uno. This means that we can use a lot of the same resources that are provided with the Arduino Uno without having to worry about compatibility issues. Lastly, we can use an Arduino Uno to load a bootloader onto our chip alleviating the issues of choosing and writing our own bootloader. (http://www.atmel.com/Images/Atmel-42735-8-bit-AVR-Microcontroller-ATmega328-328P\_Datasheet.pdf)

### Bootloader

Because we needed to purchase multiple microprocessors for use with many custom fire alarms we are going to build it was not feasible to purchase multiple Arduino Uno boards. This lead us to purchase ATmega328 processors that did not have a bootloader installed so we will need to install one ourselves. The purpose of a bootloader is to be a small program that runs when the system is powered on before the main program is run. This bootloader software will tell our fire alarm system to wait for the software on our programming computer to sent a new program to our fire alarm to be loaded. Our custom program would then be loaded into the flash memory on out ATmege328 processor. This bootloader is what will enable us to load programs onto out fire alarms using just a USB cable without the fire alarm going straight to running whatever program is installed on the system. Because we chose to use an ATmega328 microprocessor, we can use the same bootloader that is installed on Arduino Uno boards saving us a great amount of time in writing our own bootloaders. (<https://www.arduino.cc/en/Hacking/MiniBootloader)>. This also allows us to use the Arduino integrated development environment to program our fire alarms. Our team will only need to borrow an Arduino Uno for a short amount of time in order to create an unlimited amount of Arduino processors of our own.

### Bootloading Process

Because our ATmega328 chips do not come with a bootloader preinstalled, we will need to install our own. Other than the obvious ATmega328, we will also need a few more components to install the bootloader onto the chip. We will need a breadboard for mounting the chip onto as well as a 16MHz crystal. This crystal in conjunction with two capacitors of about 22pF will act as a clock for our processor. Lastly, we will also temporarily need a working Arduino Uno in order to copy the bootloader over to our blank ATmega328 chip. The below diagram is an example of how an Arduino can be used to load the bootloader onto the chip:

***Figure: provided by:*** [***https://diyhacking.com/make-arduino-board-and-bootload/***](https://diyhacking.com/make-arduino-board-and-bootload/)

The first step for loading the bootloader is to place the ATmega328 chip into the middle of the breadboard allowing the pins on the left and right side of the chip to connect the two separated segments of the breadboard. The ATmega328 has a small indentation indicating which is the top of the chip, or rather which side pin one is on. This side should be oriented so that it is facing the top of the breadboard. VCC then needs to be connected to pin 7 and pin 20 which should be set to the input voltage of our ATmega328, 5 volts. Pins 8 and 22 on our chip need to be connected to ground. For designing our clock, we need to connect our 16MHz crystal to pins 9 and 10 on our board. Our capacitors that we have chosen need to be connected from each pin on the crystal to ground. Therefore, one capacitor needs to be connected to pin 9 and ground while another needs to be connected to pin 10 and ground. Our Arduino Uno board now needs to be connected to our computer using the USB connection on the board. The Arduino IDE comes with example sketches that can be loaded onto Arduino boards for testing purposes. One of these is called Arduino ISP and loads software onto the Arduino which will allow it to function as a bootloader for our ATMega328. This sketch needs to be uploading to our Arduino without any connections other than to the computer. Once this is complete the Arduino needs to be wired to our blank ATMega328 chip. The first connection is Pin 10 on the Arduino board which needs to be connected to the reset pin on our blank chip. The reset pin in Pin 1. Next, Pin 11 on the Arduino needs to be connected to pin 17 on our blank chip and Pin 12 on the Arduino needs to be connected to pin 18 on our blank chip. The last wire than needs to be connected is pin 13 on the Arduino which needs to be connected pin 19 on the blank chip and breadboard. Now we can connect our 5 volt VCC and ground and plug the Arduino back in to the computer. The last step is to go onto the Arduino IDE, confirm that the correct Arduino board is selected, which is the Arduino Uno, and select Burn Bootloader. This process should take a few minutes to complete. As long as no errors are shown on the Arduino IDE, an Arduino bootloader has now been loaded onto our chip and can be used by itself to program our project. (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g90xb0nNX50)

# Project Design

## Block Diagram (Hardware/Software) (Don’t know where to put/Design???)



# Administrative Content

## Estimated Budget

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Wireless Adapters | $10 x 5 = $50 |
| Battery Harness / Power Supply | $3 x 5 = $15 |
| Speaker/Alarm | $1 x 5 = $5 |
| Various Electrical Components | $10 x 5 = $50 |
| Microcontroller for Hub | $30 x 1 = $30 |
| PCB boards | $10 x 5 = $50 |
| Smoke Sensors | $7 x 5 = $35 |
| Boot flasher | $15 x 1 = $15 |
| Estimated Total | $250 |


The initial estimated cost for this smart smoke detector project is $250. We will add an additional $50 to this budget to account for broken parts, errors, and items that are unaccounted for. This brings the total cost of our project to $300.

## Actual Expenditures: Prototype

## Financing Plan (mention personal spending for prototype, look for sponsor for implementation of PCB)

While everyone on the team has agreed to share any financial burden created by the project equally, we will seek sponsorships and other means to finance this project. Upon selecting a project, and receiving approval we will submit proposals to companies interested in investing in fire alarms and those looking to showcase their semiconductor and component products. A tentative list includes: Texas Instruments, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing, Honeywell Fire Systems, Siemens (Building Technology Division), and UCF. If no sponsorship or financial help is achieved, the team is responsible for any costs incurred.

## Project Timeline



# Appendix

## References

**[1]** Bukowski, Richard W., Richard D. Peacock, Jason D. Averill, Thomas G. Cleary, Nelson P. Bryner, William D. Walton, Paul A. Reneke, and Erica D. Kuligowski. *Performance of Home Smoke Alarms*. Rep. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Feb. 2008. Web. 25 Feb. 2017.

**[2]** Cote, Arthur E., and Percy Bugbee. *Principles of fire protection*. Quincy, MA: National Fire Protection Association, 1995. Print.

**[3]** Alt, Kimberly. "Find the Best Smoke Detector Type for Your Family." *ASecureLife.com*. A Secure Life, 12 May 2016. Web. 25 Feb. 2017. <http://www.asecurelife.com/best-smoke-detector/>.

**[4]** Jain, Vaibhav. "Learn the Working of a Gas Sensor." EngineersGarage. EngineersGarage, n.d. Web. 21 Mar. 2017. <https://www.engineersgarage.com/insight/how-gas-sensor-works>.

**[5]** "Types of Smoke Detectors and Alarms." *Grainger Industrial Supply*. W. W. Grainger, Inc., n.d. Web. 25 Feb. 2017. <https://www.grainger.com/content/qt-types-smoke-alarms-detectors-366>.

**[6]** "International Association of Fire Fighters (IAFF)." *International Association of Fire Fighters (IAFF)*. World Fire Safety Foundation, Aug. 2008. Web. 25 Feb. 2017. <http://www.thewfsf.org/iaff>.

**[7] C**ox, James F. Fundamentals of Linear Electronics: Integrated and Discrete. Albany, NY: Delmar, 2002. Print.

**[8]** Tavernier, Filip, and Michiel Stevaert. “Chapter 3: From Light to Electric Current – The Photodiode.” High-speed Optical Receivers with Integrated Photodiode in Nanoscale CMOS. NewYork: Springer, 2011. N. pag. Print.

**[9]** Nave, Carl. "Photodiode Light Detector." *Photodetectors*. HyperPhysics, n.d. Web. 07 Mar. 2017. <http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/Electronic/photdet.html>.